## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Denise Elaine Bone,	Civil Action No.: 6:13-2698-BHH
Plaintiff, )	
v. )	OPINION AND ORDER
Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	
Defendant. )	

This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Kevin F. McDonald, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rules 73.02(B)(2)(a) and 83.VII.02 for the District of South Carolina. The plaintiff Denise Elaine Bone ("the plaintiff"), brought this action seeking judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying the plaintiff's claim for disability insurance benefits ("DIB").

On December 5, 2014, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation in which he recommended that the Commissioner's decision be reversed and remanded for further administrative proceedings. (ECF No. 27.) On December 17, 2014, the Commissioner filed "Defendant's Notice of Not Filing Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge." (ECF No. 28.)

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which

6:13-cv-02698-BHH Date Filed 12/23/14 Entry Number 30 Page 2 of 2

specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part,

the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to him with

instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of a timely filed objection, a district

court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must "only satisfy itself that there is

no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond

v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir.2005).

The Court has carefully reviewed the record and concurs in the recommendation of

the Magistrate Judge. The Court adopts the Report and Recommendation and

incorporates it herein by reference. The decision of the Commissioner to deny benefits is

reversed and the action is remanded for further administrative action consistent with this

order and the Report and Recommendation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>/s/ Bruce Howe Hendricks</u>
United States District Judge

December 23, 2014 Greenville, South Carolina